LETTER TO PRESIDENT OBAMA

VIVISECTION

Your TAX DOLLARS Hard AT Work.

MARLENE PHELAN

MARCH 17, 2011
March 17th, 2011

Dear Mr. President;

I would like to speak out for 115 million individuals a year whose voices will never be heard. I would like to speak of their outrageous, heartbreaking suffering.

“Sanitized Sadism” is what it has been called by one human. This legalized torture is hidden in buildings without windows. It takes place in basements, cellars, and underground rooms. George Bernard Shaw once said, “There are hundreds of paths to scientific knowledge. The cruel ones can teach us only what we ought not to know.”

This sanitized sadism costs the United States taxpayers over 18 billion dollars annually. This tragic waste of life is represented here in print, photos and DVD’s of numerous undercover investigations of laboratories in the United States. These animals are voiceless victims of a devious atrocity that is called vivisection.

Vivisection is a method of “science”, which was labeled by Dr. Frederic Mayo, founder of the Mayo clinic, as “Evil.”

“My own conviction is that the study of human physiology by way of experiments on animals is the most grotesque and fantastic
error ever committed in the whole range of human intellectual ability.” (Dr. G.F. Walker in “Medical World” Dec. 8, 1933).

The victims of these scientific atrocities have faces. I would like to introduce you to one rare survivor known formerly only as “CH 411”.

(“CH 411”, Tom, 1965-2009)
“CH 411” was the way he was referred to by laboratory workers that kept him in a cage for 30 years. “CH” stood for chimpanzee. This chimpanzee is now the ambassador for a bill called the Great Ape Protection Act, (H.R. 1326). This chimpanzee lived for 44 years and his name was Tom. For 30 years Tom lived in a 5x5x7 foot cage. He could not stretch out his arms or climb higher than two feet up to his ridiculous tire, hung by chains right in the middle of his cage. The people who kept him in this cage, the people who Tom trusted as his caretakers, saw him as only someone to infect. Tom was injected with the HIV virus. (NEAVS)

“A quarter of a century of primate research has failed to produce an HIV/AIDS vaccine, more than 8 vaccines that worked in monkeys have failed in humans.” (John J. Pippin, Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine, PCRM)

(A chimpanzee at the New Iberia Research Center in Louisiana. An Undercover Investigation by the Humane Society of the United States recorded images of chimps being sedated with dart guns and falling off their perches onto the floor, and monkeys with open wounds. Aired on Nightline, March 4th, 2009).

Currently there are over 1,000 Chimpanzees in laboratories in the United States. Chimpanzees do not develop the AIDs virus, even when infected with it. Nevertheless, the National Institute of Health, has spent over $10 million on Chimpanzee AIDS research and plans to spend at least additional $4.5 million.

(releasechimps.org)
The U.S. Department of Agriculture dictates that in a laboratory, a single chimpanzee can be kept for years in a 5x5x7 foot cage, the minimal size allowed by law. Chimpanzees are different from other animals that are used in laboratories. Because of the 2000 chimp act, unlike other species, chimpanzees cannot be killed if laboratories want to rid themselves of someone deemed not “useful” anymore. Approximately 90% of chimpanzees currently in U.S. labs have been there for more than 10 years. Many have been there for 40 or 50 years. For chimps in the United States, the G.A.P.A. would halt all invasive research on chimpanzees. It would release them from the perpetual hell of laboratories and would retire all federally owned chimpanzees into permanent sanctuaries.

Sadly most animals used in research are anonymous and uncountable they never see the outside world. All of these animals are referred to only by a number, then used and disposed of. We hear their stories only through care givers who expose their unnecessary suffering and death.

“We have enslaved the rest of animal creation and have treated our distant cousins in fur and feathers so badly that beyond doubt, if they were to formulate a religion, they would depict the Devil in human form.” William Ralph Inge 1860-1954

“A puppy from 3335 was completely cut open from neck to groin, his ribcage exposed. I saw the dog throw his head back and howl...The last writhing head throw happened when the person doing the necropsy sliced through the dog’s leg muscles. (PETA investigation, HLS in New Jersey, “Diary of Madness” 2001)
“2008 Investigation into HLS revealed workers doing an autopsy on a live monkey.” (InsideHLS.com)

Huntingdon Life Sciences

The devil terrorizes thousands of frightened, tormented animals in a pit of horror in New Jersey at one of the most notoriously cruel companies in the world. Known as the “Animal Auschwitz”, Huntingdon Life Sciences, (called Life Science Research in Hackensack N.J., or the Princeton Research Center in East Millstone N.J. has been found guilty of animal cruelty on five different occasions through undercover investigations. Terrified animals are left to ooze, bleed, vomit and convulse as they “sit in metal cages waiting to die.” Satan thrives here in this dungeon of torture where animals are sent to be poisoned, gassed, dissected, or injected with a deadly disease before they are eventually killed. Not one animal leaves this facility alive.

In one investigation (It’s A Dog’s Life), this company was found guilty of one worker punching a five month old Beagle in the face and throwing him against a wall (You Tube) . Huntingdon Life Sciences is among the world’s largest product testing labs. Drug companies such as Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Novartis, Astra Zeneca among others have their pharmaceuticals tested on animals here.

HLS tests agrochemicals (such as pesticides, weed-killers, herbicides, fungicides and household products. Approximately 500 animals die every day in the
dungeon. Even control animals dosed with placebos are “sac’ed” (sacrificed) at the end of every study.

There were 32 Beagles used to test Splenda a Johnson & Johnson product. These Beagles were locked in metal cages for 52 weeks. They were given sucralose mixed in with their normal feed, and blood and urine samples were collected. At the end of the study they were killed by means of exsanguinations— they had their throats slit open and bled to death. (InsideHLS.com)

They were then cut open and their organs—by now drained of blood so easier to dissect—were examined to test the product’s toxicity levels. 12 monkeys which were babies—under 10 months old were force fed sucralose for seven weeks. Two primates died on the seventh day from brain defects, another primate was mysteriously killed after four weeks and the remainder all were murdered at the completion of
the seventh week. They also used rabbits who were given 1200 times the expected daily intake and not surprisingly most died from the trauma. Many of the other rabbits suffered from convulsions. (InsideHLS.com; Dying for coffee sweetener)

Peta Undercover Investigation diary revealed 2001 Colgate insect repellent force-fed to gentle, frightened beagles is similar to DEET, which has already been injected into animals and shoved down their throats. The primary ingredient for Colgate’s insecticide had already been tested on animals.

“I stopped to look at dog number 2550F, who was in the exercise cage all alone. She was doubled over in the cage with her head pushed up against the cage door. She managed to sit up a little, but her body went rigid and her eyes were glassy and distant. Her tail was hanging rigidly straight down. Her head started to bob and rock back and forth. She was having a seizure.” (PETA, “Diary of Madness”, Michele Roorke 2001)

“Today is the last day for some of the Colgate dogs. My little Spud is scheduled to die first thing Monday morning. I gave him a last hug today and held his wiggly body close to mine. When I looked at him sitting in his cage with the same expectant grin he always had, I felt sick.” (PETA investigation,“Diary of Madness”)

HLS has been consistently exposed for sadistic animal cruelty and falsifying data. Despite the continuing horrors being exposed repeatedly, HLS/LSR (Princeton
Research Center), continues operate and was exposed as recently as 2008 for doing an autopsy on a live monkey.

“Terry left the room but turned and came right back saying to Rodney and Lisa “Just remember when you falsify data use the same color ink!” Everyone laughed and nodded. (PETA undercover investigation, “Diary of Madness” 2001).

“When I asked Brain not to kill dog #1055, (control dog), and to save him for me, he asked me why. I told him he just seemed like a nice dog. He laughed at me and said “Yeah, but what does he do? Needless to say, Joey was killed.” (PETA “Diary of Madness”, Michele Roorke InsideHLS.com kinshipcircle.org).

(Photograph: Shac.net)

“Huntingdon is the poster child for the abhorrent, unnecessary and wasteful industry that not only murders millions of innocent, suffering animals, but dooms countless humans to their own unnecessary suffering as scarce health-care dollars are wasted on useless animal research and testing.” (Dr Jerry Vlasik, trauma surgeon)

Enclosed is SHAC’s (Stop Huntingdon Animal Cruelty) listing of “Drug Disasters”. At least 53 different drugs were tested on these animals at Huntingdon Life
Sciences facilities and found safe. They subsequently caused serious side effects on humans, some results were fatal.

“In our present state of knowledge, one cannot scientifically determine the probable effect, effectiveness or safety of medicaments when administered to human beings by means of animal experiments... The example of the Thalidomide disaster illustrates this problem particularly clearly. Such a medicine-caused disaster could no more be prevented with adequate certainty through animal experimentation today than it could at that time. “ Dr Herbert Hensel, Director of the Institute of Physiology at Marburg University, (Sacred Cows and Golden Geese, the human cost of animal experimentation, Dr’s C. Ray Greek & Jean Swingle Greek, pg 69)

“The reason I am against animal research is because it doesn’t work. It has no scientific value. One cannot extrapolate the results of animal research to human beings and every good scientist knows that.” (Robert Mendelson M.D., Pediatrics, University of Illinois).

An animal technician prepares to deliver a pharmaceutical candidate by means of a nasal gastro administration to one of 32 cynomolgus macaques in an animal room Friday, July 12, 2002, at Huntingdon Life Sciences in Oakford, N.J. (AP Photo/The Philadelphia Inquirer, David Swanson
“Brian and Justin had joked throughout the week about how many monkeys would die as a result of “lung shots” if the naso-gastric tube is improperly placed in the animal’s trachea and lung instead of their esophagus and stomach, the animal receives the test material in the lung and dies within minutes. Justin went on to tell me about having a platinum club…” “If you killed an animal you were in the club.” (“Diary of Madness” 2001)

After learning that guinea pigs had died as a result of being given penicillin, Dr. Ian Fleming stated, “How fortunate we did not have animal tests in the 1940’s for penicillin would probably have never been granted a license, and probably the whole field of antibiotics might never have been realized.”

“The Food and Drug Administration tells us that 92% of drugs tested safe and effective in animals fail in human trials, even as the cost of bringing a drug to market has reached $1 billion and validated non animal alternatives are ignored. The blockbuster arthritis cure Vioxx killed more Americans than died in the Vietnam War yet it was deemed safe in eight studies using six animal species. Many drugs have had severe and even lethal effects in people after demonstrating safety in animal tests. Conversely, safe and effective drugs such as aspirin, acetaminophen and penicillin cause severe toxicities in animal tests.” (John J. Pippin, Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine).

Post –Marketing drug surveillance (PMDS) is a system of reporting all the effects and side effects of a medication after it has been released to the public. If this practice were widely used, health professionals could detect and prevent negative
drug reactions. In addition, PMDS could also increase the odds of finding new uses for existing drugs. (IDAUSA.org)

Unfortunately, PMDS is not mandatory, and physicians infrequently report side effects to monitoring agencies. It is therefore impossible to compile comprehensive data on a drug’s potential dangers. **If PMDS was mandatory, researchers would be able to gather valuable information about pharmaceuticals much more quickly. Getting this information sooner would spare more people from unforeseen reactions, some to which have proven deadly.** (IDAUSA.org)

The Mandatory Alternative Petition
(www.alternatives-petition.org)

(The M.A.P.) would require that Instead of using live animals, valid Non-animal testing methods must Be used whenever they exist.” (NEAVS)
“On November 14, 2007 a coalition of animal organizations submitted a precedent setting petition to the U.S. government that would potentially save tens of millions of animals from unthinkable suffering and death. The Mandatory Alternative Petition (The M.A.P.) would require that instead of using living animals, valid non-animal testing methods must be used whenever they exist”. The aim of the M.A.P. is to end drug and product testing on animals at policy level. (New England Anti Vivisection Society, NEAVS).

The United States government is the largest sponsor of animal testing in the world. This is an unjustifiable abomination. (PETA) The National Institute of Health (NIH) in the United States is the world’s largest funder of experiments.

The largest breeding company of laboratory animals in the United States is Charles River Breeders headquartered in Massachusetts and owned by Bausch & Lomb from 1984-1999. They then sold it to Global Health Care Partners.

“The United States government is the largest sponsor of animal testing in the world. This is an unjustified abomination. The industry and its people profit because animals who cannot defend themselves against abuse and are legally imprisoned and tortured.” (PETA’S Animal Testing 101).
“The lab director even ordered that the dogs’ vocal cords be cut because their frightened and lonely barking irritated him.” (IAMSCruelty.com)

Rivaling Huntingdon Life Sciences for barbaric cruelty is Procter and Gamble.
“Procter and Gamble is one of the largest companies in the United States that continues to do cruel animal testing despite the fact that these tests are not required by any law and more reliable and humane alternatives do exist.” (IDA)

(Procter & Gamble hired Sinclair Research Center)

(An IAMS contract laboratory,
Where Beagles were dumped on cold, concrete flooring after large chunks of muscle were cut from their thighs - PETA undercover investigation starting in 2002, footage- IAMSCruelty.com)
A Peta undercover investigation revealed deplorable conditions at an IAMS contract laboratory, at which at least 27 dogs were killed, while others died of illnesses that went untreated. Peta’s investigator also found dogs and cats confined to small, barren cages, some for up to six years; dogs who were silenced by having their vocal cords cut out; workers reports of a live kitten who had been washed down a drain; and dogs force fed vegetable oil through tubes inserted down their throats."

"P&G claims to be a leader in the search for alternatives to the use of animals in product testing.” “For the sake of the thousands of animals that still suffer and die in their laboratories, P&G should not -- and will not -- be let off the hook until they completely end all of their torture and mutilation.” (Dr Elliot M. Katz, IDA)
"What I think about vivisection is that if people admit that they have the right to take or endanger the life of living beings for the benefit of many, there will be no limit to their cruelty." Leo Tolstoy

ACADEMICS

"THERE ARE IN FACT TWO CATEGORIES OF DOCTORS AND SCIENTISTS WHO ARE NOT OPPOSED TO VIVISECTION: THOSE WHO DON’T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT IT, AND THOSE WHO MAKE MONEY FROM IT.” Dr. Werner Hartinger, M.B., German surgeon, 1989 quoted in Sacred Cows and Golden Geese, (The Human Cost of Experiments on Animals) C. Ray Greek M.D. & Jean Swingle Greek D.V.V. (pg 7)

PETA’S TEN WORST LABORATORIES;
Thimble lived for six years and 23 days. It is unclear why she was killed.

“It is beyond ludicrous to continue funding fatally flawed animal models of smoking toxicity in humans. If animal models had any validity we would have to conclude that smoking doesn’t cause cancer.... it is a scandal that any more money is wasted on animal models while potentially important advances in the human –based clinical research goes unfunded.” Lawrence A Hansen. MD, Professor of Pathology and Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego. (StopSmokingExperiments.com::In Defense of Animals).

At OHSU female monkeys are impregnated and subjected to multiple surgeries to implant nicotine pumps in their backs. The monkeys endure multiple surgeries as the pumps must be changes up to five times during their pregnancies. Steady doses of nicotine are delivered to the pregnant monkeys and their babies are cut
out of their wombs at various stages of development in order to dissect their lungs. These useless nicotine experiments are represented by one tiny individual that was given a name- Thimble.

Thimble was born on October 4th, 1999 and so named by workers for her diminutive size, weighing only 0.29 ounces at birth. Thimble was removed from her mother’s uterus before she’d reached full term. Spindel who has received an astounding $7.6 million from the NIH since 1992 was planning to subject Thimble to lung tests after she spent a frightening night alone in an incubator. Then she would have been bled to death and dissected. Due to complications with her birth, Spindel rejected Thimble for his experiments and she was allowed to live- eventually being used to breed more unfortunate monkeys to kill in experiments.”

“Thimble lived for six years and 23 days.” “It is unclear why she was killed.”

“Spindel himself admits that information from human clinical studies is available”. He is scheduled to get funds from the National Institutes of Health’s National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute to continue killing baby monkeys until 2012!”

(StopSmokingExperiments.com: In Defense of Animals)

“Unfortunately, for most animals in research, death is their only blessing and release from suffering.” IDA

Beagle being killed at the end of an experiment HLS 2005

(Footage-You Tube)
Copycat nicotine animal experiments are currently happening right now at Georgetown University School of Medicine, (David Mendelowitz), (Kent Pinderton) at University of California, and Texas A&M University, Columbia University, (Raymond Stark).

**TEN WORST LABORATORIES** Columbia University, PETA Investigation (DVD enclosed), (Columbiacruelty.com)

“Columbia is one of the richest and most powerful universities in the world, yet it refuses to spare the time or resources to provide pain relief to injured animals or properly euthanize “unwanted” ones. Columbia has the dubious distinction of being the only university to ever have its animal research facilities shut down by the NIH because of animal welfare violations.” PETA

Baboon #8

$500,000 taxpayer dollars a year

This female monkey, #88N182 has blood running down her face from her “cap” implant, which was performed in March 2003. She arrived at Columbia in 19994 and has been caged since then in conditions bereft of any environmental enrichment. Columbia Experimenter - Michael Ferin, M.D.

Baboon # 6533
“A physical exam of this baboon before she was killed showed her to be an extremely thin animal” whose leg muscles had atrophied. She was also dehydrated. Autopsy showed #6533 had a bacterial infection, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, that often afflicts animals with catheter implants, but she was not given a full regimen of antibiotics. One particularly sad description of baboon #6533 was written, “more quiet than normal. Sitting upright hugging hind legs.” Columbia- Experimenter Raymond I. Stark, M.D.

This horrific story came to our attention when a courageous whistle blower, Dr. Catherine Dell’Orto, a postdoctoral veterinary fellow at Columbia, stepped forward to tell us what she had witnessed.

Columbia University- Celebrity doctor Mehmet OZ dog experiments;

“...The screams of these puppies could be heard through closed doors. All of these puppies, lying in a plastic garbage bag, were killed in the presence of their littermates.” PETA Investigation

Dr Oz Dogs Records

Oz Dog #6269

This dog’s chest was surgically opened and radio frequency ablation was done. The day after the surgery, records show that she was able to walk, but the misery was just beginning...
Oz Dog #6406 Twenty nine days of pain and suffering awaited this dog after her chest was opened to expose her heart for radio frequency ablation.

“VIVISECTION IS THE MOST IMMORAL, ACADEMICALLY AND INTELLECTUALLY DISHONEST FORM OF ANIMAL ABUSE. NOW THAT SLAVERY AND APARTHEID HAVE BEEN ABOLISHED I FIRMLY BELIEVE THAT VIVISECTION IS THE MOST EVIL AND BARBARIC, UNJUST AND UNJUSTIFIABLE PRACTICE ON EARTH.” (VERNON COLEMAN, FIGHTING FOR ANIMALS)

Ohio State University PETA Undercover Investigation 2009
Experimenter- George Billman

Billman has done the same cruel experiments since 1999

“Dog #3017 was a sweet and friendly brown hound dog. It is possible that she could have been someone’s pet sold to a lab by a class B dealer. She was assigned that number by George Billman at Ohio State University. Billman has been
conducted cruel experiments for 29 years to produce “findings” that are already known or of extremely limited value to humans. Dog #3017 had one of her arteries intentionally constricted—killing a section of her heart tissue—and a cuff was placed around another blood vessel. A short time later, that cuff was used to induce a heart attack while she ran on a treadmill. **Two days after her second round of strenuous testing—and despite records that clearly indicated that she need to be monitored—Dog #3017 was found in her cage. Dead.**

Dog #3017 was obtained from a class B dealer. Class B dealers supply “random-source” animals purchased at auctions, “adopted” from unsuspecting individuals who place “free to a good home” ads on Web sites like Craigslist, or sometimes even stolen from people’s back yards. Dog #3017 could have been some person’s loving companion animal.” The extensive suffering and staggering death rates of dogs used OSU “research” are documented by none other than George Billman himself. In 2006 paper, he reported that 768 dogs were subjected to initial surgery, 512 of them survived to the point where Billman could place them on a treadmill. All to test his hypotheses that exercise an omega-3 fatty acid supplements are good for the heart and that experimental drugs can keep the heart from beating abnormally-information that is already know and proven in humans. A 1999 paper, also published by Billman, describes almost identical test on dogs and identical results.” (Peta July 6, 2009)

“I knelt beside one cage and a large dog came to the edge to nuzzle me through the bars. As I stroked under the dog’s chin, his stitches from that day’s (induced) ulceration surgery split open. The dog’s stomach spilled out in front of him. “I wouldn’t leave him. I made a promise to that dog, that day, that my life’s focus and fight would be for him. This is not medicine, This is madness!” (Chris De Rose founder of Last Chance for Animals)
An investigation into a Class B dealer was the subject of an award winning documentary “Dealing Dogs”, by Last Chance for Animals aired on HBO. DVD enclosed. It won two emmy nominations and a Genesis Award.

“Rebel”
USDA tag #35330

(“Rebel” a Beagle stolen by one of the nation’s most notorious “Class B” dealer’s, C.C. Baird. “Rebel” who was once someone’s pet, died while being confined at C.C. Baird’s kennels waiting to be taken to a laboratory. “Rebel” a name given to him by undercover investigator “Pete”, Last Chance for Animals. Rebel was wearing USDA tag 35330.

Nearly two million companion animals are stolen each year. Many of these pets find their way to research laboratories through USDA licensed Class “B” animal dealers. For a $10 fee, anyone can apply for a USDA Class “B’ dealer license. Class B dealers obtain animals from state, county or city owned and operated animal pounds or shelters, (this is call pound seizure), other USDA licensed B dealers and various random sources. However B dealers also obtain animals from “bunchers.” Bunchers fraudulently obtain animals through “free to a good home’ ads.

There are 15 random source Class “B” dealers left that sell animals to research facilities.

The Pet Safety and Protection Act (S.714 & H.R. 1280), AKA “Buck's Bill” prevents stolen companion animals from being sold into research. The PSPA was introduced as a direct response to recent Last Chance for Animals investigations of “Class B” dealers who traffic in family companion animals for research.
(“Buck” was one of the many animals rescued by LCA during a 2003 raid of the nation’s most notorious Class “B” dealer C.C. Baird. Buck struggled with Stage 3 heartworm disease, Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever and parasitic pneumonia. After staying at a vet clinic for 7 months Buck went to a foster home where he lived until he died a few months later from internal hemorrhaging. Buck’s bill serves to honor Buck and all the dogs and cats who die due to inadequate care and abuse at the hands of Class “B” dealers.)

The Pet Safety & Protection Act (S.714) would stop, once and for all the supply of stolen pets to research laboratories. The PSPA, which strengthens federal protections for companion animals, was attached to the Farm Bill in the U.S. House of Representatives as an amendment by Rep. Steve Israel (D-N.Y.) and Rep. Mike Doyle (D-Pa.). House Agriculture Committee Chairman Collin Peterson (D-Minn.) accepted the Israel-Doyle amendment as part of the Farm Bill. It was introduced in the Senate by Senator Akaka (D-HI). The senate is now considering whether to follow the lead of the House by including the PSPA in the Farm Bill.

“It appears that the medical establishment system has changed from one of NIH giving grants for scientific research to one of
scientific research being done solely to get NIH grants.”

(Congressman Thomas Bliley D-Va 2000)

PETA’S 10 WORST LABORATORIES

“Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore receives approximately $600 million a year in NIH funding for animal testing, more than any other institution in the world.”

In one test Lloyd Minor implant metal coils are into the eyes of squirrel monkeys and surgically installs steel poles into their skulls, which lock into a special restraint chair. The chair is rapidly spun and the monkeys are lobotomized to see if their brains respond differently to chair spinning. Minor doesn’t even bother to pretend that his medieval torture has any applications to humans, which he also conducts on chinchillas. In yet another shocking example of funding for sadistic animal torture this project receives almost $500,000 each year from the National Institutes of Health Deafness and Other Communication Disorders.”

It should be noted that any grant adds money to the university budget. Hence, universities often overlook unethical research. A 1991 federal Office of Technical Assessment (OTA) report reinforced this fact by stating:

“Since most overhead is brought into the university by a small number of research professors (at Stanford, five percent of the faculty bring in over one-half of the indirect cost dollars).
proposals to reduce research output are not looked on with favor by many university administrators. (a 1991 Federal Office of Technical assessment (OT) report, Dr’s C. Ray Greek MD and Jean Single Greek, DVM Sacred Cows and Golden Geese pg 84)

PETA’S TEN WORST LABS-University of San Francisco

Experimenter -Professor Stryker sews the eyes of kittens shut and cuts off the top of their skulls.

The University of California, San Francisco has a long history of Animal Welfare act violations. In September of 2005, UCSF paid $92,500 in fines to the U.S. Department of Agriculture for over 60 violations.

Professor Stryker sews the eyes of newborn kittens shut so that their brains develop without visual stimulation. In some experiments, he implants chemical pumps with access ports into their heads to inject drugs. After varying periods of time, he reopens their eyes and cuts off the tops of their skulls. He then measures brain activity while the kittens are in front of television screens. These government funded deprivation studies on cats have been going on since the 1970’s. “The findings are cats brains do not develop normally when their eyes are sewn shut.” (Ten Worst Laboratories)
PETA Undercover Investigation University of California-San Francisco (10 WORST LABORATORIES)

Experiments which violate federal law:

Monkeys with a metal plate

Drilled and cemented in

his skull. Experimenter-Stephen Lisberger

“I’ve had many years experience in neuroscience research, but I have never previously encountered experiments which would deliver quite so much suffering for so comparatively little scientific gain.” “This cynical attempt to justify animal cruelty by linking it with a treatment for a devastating human disease is disingenuous at best, and can more fairly be viewed as deceptive.” (Lawrence Hansen, M.D. California San Diego neuroscientist and Alzheimer’s researcher.)

These sadistic useless experiments are still given approval by the UCSF’s committee on Animal Research. “Chained on leashes inside their cages, “his monkeys sit totally alone, metal coils in their eyes, bolts, metal plates, steel cylinders and electrodes drilled and cemented in their skulls. Eyeglasses that distort their vision are bolted to their heads for up to 12 weeks at a time. They are denied free access to fluids in order to keep them thirsty and motivate them to “perform” for juice rewards. To prepare monkeys for his experiments, Lisberger starts by slicing their eyes open with scalpels so that wire coils can be place inside. Screws are then drilled into their skulls, and a metal plate is placed under the scalp. Bolts that protrude from the plate through the scalp will later be used to screw the monkeys by the head into restraining chairs.
PETA TEN WORST LABORATORIES

At the University California, Davis Dr. Kenneth Britten annually receives $220,000 to anchor restrain devices to the heads of rhesus monkeys and graft coils into their eyes.

Fear & Anxiety Experiments;
Experimenter; Michael Davis
$5 million dollars.

PETA’S TEN WORST LABORATORIES

In 2001, Emory University acquired about $118,185,010 for researches such as Garret Alexander to route electrodes into the brains of macaque monkeys. Locked in restraint chairs, fluid deprives monkeys do behavioral drills to earn juice rewards. Later they’re embalmed alive. “Animal Testing you paid for it.” In the past 10 years Emory’s Yerkes National Primate Center together with Professor Michael Davis spent over $5 million in

Macaques imprisoned in small barren cages await their fate. You can see the fear in the eyes of these babies clinging to each other for comfort.
government funding. Davis performs fear and anxiety experiments by taking infant monkeys away from their frantic mothers straps them into restraining chairs and repeatedly startles them with a noise of up to 120 decibels.

**Dr. Madeleine Schlag-Rey of UCLA and Dr Richard Andersen of the California Institute of Technology also install devices into primate’s brains. Since 1985 Dr. William Newsome has steadily replicated Dr. Britten’s primate tests, in one of many ongoing studies that earned Stanford University around $107,272,736 in 2001 alone. At Yale University Charles Bruce has collected a near $3.4 million in endowments, to perform remarkably similar primate tests.**

The list of carbon-copy experiments is endless. Presently, over 60 NIH grants repeat drug addiction studies in primates; 70 grants finance eyesight tests in macaque monkeys; 170 projects examine neural data in macaque monkeys and 90 others rehash the same study in cats.(Animal Testing, you paid for it)

**University of Tulane, New Orleans** At the University of Tulane, thousands of animals were trapped in basement laboratories below sea level during Hurricane Katrina. The staff saved only 175 cages containing expensive transgenic mice. Tulane receives approximately **$15 million** in tax payer funded, government grants to expand its primate facilities, with many grants running on to 2010. Tulane plans to expand the construction of an **$18.6 million** dollar bio containment facility for chemical and biological warfare testing on primates.

**Louisiana State University** also left thousands of lab animals trapped in their cages during Hurricane Katrina. All 8,000 animals including mice, rats, dogs and monkeys, did. Thousands of terrified animals were abandoned in their cages as the waters rose and electricity failed. In news reports of the tragedy, researches lamented only their “loss of data”.

**Harvard University Cambridge, MA** Harvard receives 10 mega-grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse to study the effects of cocaine and heroin on monkeys.
University of N. Carolina, Chapel Hill “Binge drinking” studies have been conducted for decades at UNC. At least 20 active grants from the NIH study alcohol abuse in mice and rats. UNC continues to violate and ignore serious welfare violations. This includes seriously ill and injured animals denied veterinary care, post surgical pain medication and euthanasia, denial of food water and sanitary housing.

Arizona State University Experimenter- Michel Berens’ brain cancer experiments

(Patches was released from the Michael Berens project in December 2000)

Thanks to animal rights activists, Arizona State University cut funds for Michael Berens’ brain cancer experiments after 470 dog deaths and a 95% failure rate.

Berens relocated to new environs, where he is to inject cancers cells into beagle fetuses and replant the tumors into the brains of puppies. Blind dogs suffer unremitting cycles of radiation and chemotherapy. “When it can’t take it anymore,” Berens has claimed, one puppy is killed to move on to the next. (InsideHLS.com, kinshipecircle.org, Animal Testing You Paid for it.)

“We create false data which, combined with the differences among species, make our efforts to apply the results to man, useless.” (Behaviorist Psychologist, Dr. Roger E. Ulrich)
Toxicity Testing

There are seven different governmental agencies that test on animals; The EPA, FDA, Dept. of Agriculture, Consumer Product Safety Commission, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, the Dept. of Transportation, and the Dept. of Defense. (PETA)

“A lethal poisoning test invented around the time of World War I in which animals are force fed increasing doses of a chemical until they die- is still the single most common animal test in use today. . . Government regulations still require chemical manufacturers to squirt burning chemicals into rabbits’ eyes and onto their shaved skin. Some government mandated tests kill more than 2,000 animals every time they are conducted. And not a single one of these animal tests have ever been formally proven to be relevant or able to accurately predict human health effects.” (PETA, StopAnimalTests.com U.S. Government Testing Programs).

As it now stands, the FDA merely allows alternatives and suggests that they be considered. As long as pharmaceutical companies and others are not required to use existing alternatives, they have little incentive to change the way they operate.” (NEAVS)
According to protocols established by the National Toxicology Program, animals used in chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies receive the test substance daily, seven days a week, for two years with no recovery periods. Many, if not most, animals die before the end of the study.” (NEAVS)

“Toxic products that have been tested on animals such as permanent wave solutions, oven cleaners soaps and detergents are regularly introduced into the marketplace. That’s because no amount of animal testing can change the fact that many of these product are harmful if ingested or used in a way not intended by the manufacturer.” (National Anti-Vivisection Society)

“Despite the fact that they have been animal-tested, these products are no less deadly if a person eats or drinks them accidentally. (The National Anti-Vivisection Society). Currently, there is no U.S. law mandating the use of alternatives. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which regulates drugs and devices, has the authority to vastly reduce the number of cruel and unnecessary animal tests.

In 1965 The New York Academy of Sciences assured people that “A LARGE LITERATURE ON EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES HAS FAILED TO FURNISH ANY DEFINITIVE EVIDENCE FOR INDUCTION OF MALIGNANT TUMORS IN ANIMALS EXPOSED TO VARIOUS VARITIES AND PREPARATIONS ASBESTOS by inhalation or intratracheal injection (a needle is inserted into the windpipe directly depositing chemicals to the lungs bypassing the mouth and pharynx). (Sacred Cows and Golden Geese, Pg 128)

“On the schedule they’re listed as ‘hot dogs’ because of the radioactive material.. the smell in the room is horrible. The air
is so thick with the smell of feces, any time the door opens, it can be smelled all down the hall. The technicians refer to it as the “stinky room.” There are between four-eight dogs on study. They are really miserable and bark frantically if they see anyone through the window. Part of their cage is obstructed by a metal plate, so they can only see out if they stand up and look out.” (PETA investigation into HLS, NJ, “Diary of Madness”)

(HLS or Princeton Research Center New Jersey)

“I went back just a few minutes later to watch the dosing and saw Eleanor tying up a garbage bag containing the dead dog. (number 1313 from extra colony) She said they administered the dose material and the dog had a very bad reaction to it that lasted a couple of minutes. He was howling and crying and turned red. Then he went into convulsions for about 10 minutes. They sac’ed him after ten minutes of convulsing.” (PETA investigation Diary of Madness, Michele Roorker)

The EPA requires by law that pesticides be tested on dogs, who are shoved in to “inhalation chambers” where they try in vain to escape the deadly poisons that are pumped in. (PETA, StopAnimalTests.com).
Give The Animals 5 (PETA)

“The U.S. is lagging behind several other member countries with regard to our policies that require or accept data from outdated, inefficient and cruel tests methods”. (Rep. Jim Moran D-Va)

“Why are we still shaving animals’ backs and spilling chemicals on their skin (often causing lesions or ulcerations endured with no anesthetics or pain killers) when there are other methods that are more accurate, faster, less expensive and don’t require the use of live animals? Our government has the wherewithal to put five non-animal methods described here into mainstream regulatory use.” (Rep. Jim Moran, D-Va., in a letter to his colleagues PETA’s “Give the animals 5”)

On January 15, 2004 marked the official launch of PETA’s “Give the Animals 5” Campaign with a reception hosted by Congressman Jim Moran (D-Va.) Rep. Moran is taking the lead in making Congress aware of these non-animal methods and urging his fellow legislators to end the use of animal testing where internationally recognized non-animal methods can be used instead. “What is cruel, is to treat animals like test tubes. I doubt any member of Congress believes that an animal should be subjected to pain in a toxicity test if a non-animal test would give an equally accurate result.” (Rep. Jim Moran, D-VA) (joined by Rep. Shays, R-Ct)
In vitro toxicity tests using human cell cultures are two to three times more accurate than tests on rats and mice. Penicillin and streptomycin are two historical examples of in vitro discovery, and there have been thousands of others since. (IDAUSA.org)

“I couldn’t believe what I saw when the sponsors were in the room. I saw two of the sponsors, stop in front of the dogs’ cages and hold the back of their hand out for the dog to sniff. They would also stop in front of the cages and whistle at a dog to get her attention. These dogs have lived their entire lives in tiny cages they don’t know whistling...THESE BEAGLES ‘PURPOSE BRED FOR LABORATORY STUDY’ ARE CONDITIONED FROM BIRTH TO FORGIVE ABUSE. THEY RECEIVE INTENSIVE SOCIALIZATION ONLY AT KEY POINTS WHEN THEY’RE PUPPIES JUST SO THEY’LL CRAVE HUMAN TOUCH NO MATTER HOW CRUEL IT MAY BE.... I WAS ENRAGED AT THESE “SCIENTISTS” IGNORANCE. HOW DARE THEY BE SO OBLIVIOUS TO THEIR VICTIMS?”... “TO THE SPONSOR EACH STUDY IS JUST ABOUT MONEY AND FAME. THEY KNOW NOTHING ABOUT THE MISERY THEIR WONDER-DRUGS CAUSE, NOR DO THEY CARE. (“Diary of Madness”, Michele Roorkke investigation InsideHLS.comkinshipcircle.org) (Photo-Shac.net)
“Brian went to the table where Irene was implanting a femoral catheter in a rat. He picked up a scissor in one hand and one of the rat’s legs in the other and said “I think I’ll cut his foot off.” He started to close the scissors when Irene screamed, “Brain! Don’t you dare!? Brain laughed and said, “You know I’d do it.” “It’s just a rat. No matter what PETA wants us to think, it’s just a rat. It’s not a dog or a goat or a boy” “Diary of Madness” (verbatim, You Tube)

“Al brought in a primate, “Brian picked up his razor knife and grabbed a chunk of hair on her upper arm lifting the skin up. Then he began hacking at the arm. His razor was dull and he took several swipes before removing a chunk of flesh the size of a lemon. I was so shocked I couldn’t even ask what he was doing—the primate was still very much alive!!” (“Diary of Madness”)
The Animal Welfare Act

The Animal Welfare Act (AWA) is the most commonly cited legal protection given to animals in labs. However, the AWA is not a provision designed specifically for lab animals. “It is designed to provide minimal protection for all animals as defined by the act. (SHAC.net)

(Covance Cruelty.com DVD enclosed, PETA Investigation 2005 Drug experiments, revealed: striking and choking “uncooperative” monkeys, injuries left untreated until they became necrotic.)

Emaciated Beagle-Huntingdon Life Sciences
The AWA merely regulates adequate food, water, housing exercise and veterinary care. It places no restrictions whatsoever on what can be done to animals during actual experiments. The following provision ensures this.

(Covance Cruelty-com DVD Enclosed, Small monkeys dosed with large tubes forced up their nostrils and down into their stomachs, causing choking, gagging and daily bloody noses).

March of Dimes

“March of Crimes” (PETA)

Nothing in these rules, regulations, or standards shall effect or interfere with the testing outline or performance of actual research or experimentation by a research facility as determined by such research facility.” Furthermore, under the Animal Welfare Act rats, mice, birds, fish, and farm animals (which comprise 85-90% of the animals used in “research” are not considered animals and hence are not afforded even the minimal protection of the AWA.) (InsideHLS.com)
“About 150 stroke treatments, two dozen diabetes cures, two dozen paralysis treatments and many therapeutic cancer vaccines successful in animal experiments have all failed in people. Thousands of treatments for many debilitating diseases have worked in animal experiments, yet there are no cures for these diseases after decades of trying. And what potential cures have been discarded because they failed animal testing?” (John J. Pippin, Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine).

FOLLOWING INFORMATION; TAKEN FROM SACRED COWS AND GOLDEN GEESE (THE HUMAN COST OF ANIMAL EXPERIMENTATION) C. RAY GREEK AND JEAN SWINGLE GREEK - FOUNDERS OF AMERICANS FOR MEDICAL ADVANCEMENT;

Paralysis

Corticosteroids were first used to treat brain edema (swelling before their application in the treatment of spinal injuries. Although animal studies of steroids showed no effect on the swelling associated with spinal injuries, their effectiveness on humans with brain injuries led to widespread belief that they would be beneficial to people with spinal cord injuries. Once again, if scientists had relied on animal data we would be without a very useful medication. Failure to reduce brain or spinal cord swelling immediately causes permanent damage. Sacred Cows and Golden Geese (the human cost of animal experimentation) C. Ray Greek MD and Jean Swingle Greek, (pg 72)
Cancer

“EVERYONE SHOULD KNOW THAT MOST CANCER RESEARCH IS LARGELY A FRAUD, AND THAT THE MAJOR CANCER RESEARCH ORGANIZATIONS ARE DERELICT IN THEIR DUTIES TO THE PEOPLE WHO SUPPORT THEM.” (Linus Pauling, PhD, two-time Nobel Prize-winner); (Sacred Cows and Golden Geese pg 124)

“Beagles are a vivisectors’ choice not because they are a good model for the human body, but because they are docile and trusting.” (The A.L.F.)

(It is not just about entirely bogus science. The fact that animal-model cancer experimentation fails, again and again, to make meaningful contributions to cancer research, and billions of grant dollars continue to be funneled into these projects yearly is criminal. These assets, which could go to any other anticancer venue, are totally wasted, and of course, we cannot put figures on the human costs.” (Drs C. Ray Greek and Jean Swingle Greek, Sacred Cows and Golden Geese)
“The history of cancer research has been the history of curing cancer in the mouse. We have cured mice of cancer for decades, and it simply didn’t work in humans.” Dr. Richard Klausner of the National Cancer Institute

“THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR CANCER RESEARCH AT MERCK RESEARCH LABORATORY CAUTIONED, “THE FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEM IN DRUG DISCOVERY FOR CANCER IS THAT THE (ANIMAL) MODEL SYSTEMS ARE NOT PREDICTIVE AT ALL.”

“The first real successful medicines to treat cancer were discovered on the battlefield. During World Was 1, physicians noticed that soldiers exposed to mustard-nerve gas experienced low white blood cell counts. They wondered if something similar would contract leukemia and lymphomas, cancers where the white blood cells are over produced. Based on this, mustard gas derivatives became effective chemotherapeutics. (Sacred Cows and Golden Geese), pg137

“The discovery of chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of human cancer is widely heralded as a triumph due to the use of animal models; However, there is little, if any factual evidence that would support these claims. Indeed while conflicting animal results have often delayed and hampered advances in the war on cancer, they have never produced a single substantial advance in either the prevention or treatment of human cancer.” Dr Irwin Bross, formerly of the Roswell Park Memorial Institute for Cancer Research, in testimony before the U.S. Congress

In vitro testing has also revolutionized diagnostic science. Cervical cancer detection is one of the oldest and most successful forms of in vitro testing. The Pap smear, invented in the 1920’s
by Aurel Babes and developed by George Papanicolaou, is now used in ninety-seven percent of all cervical cancer diagnoses. (Sacred Cows and Golden Geese, Pg 140)

Heart Disease

“Biomedical research does not need animals any more, but should use computers. It is pointless and even dangerous to continue following the traditional paths, for the difference between man and animals is too great that it mostly leads us into error.” Dr Luigi Sprovieri contributor to the invention of the cardiopulmonary bypass machine in La Nazione, Florence Italy, Oct. 5, 1980 (Sacred Cows and Golden Geese, pg 155)

“There was a stir when researchers discovered that a common chemical, acetylcholine, dilated coronary arteries in dogs. Physicians gave acetylcholine to humans, but it had the opposite effect. Constricting human arteries, it caused subjects to suffer heart attacks. Bradykinin, another common chemical behaves diametrically, constricting cerebral arteries in dogs but dilating them in humans.” (Sacred Cows and Golden Geese, pg 161)
“As early as 1867 a physician named Sir Lauder Brunton, who was experiencing angina, helped himself to a little nitroglycerin. Brunton was amazed to find his symptoms relieved. The rest is history.” (Sacred Cow and Golden Geese, pg 160)

The cause of virtually every disease has either been discovered or clarified by performing post-mortem autopsies on deceased patients. (IDAUSA.org)

**Military**

**HR 4269, the BEST Practices Act**

Would end the use of live animals in military training.

**Hurlburt Field Military Base**

**Okaloosa County Fla. (PETA)**

“Thousands of live animals are shot, stabbed, dismembered, burned, and poisoned every year in Department of Defense training exercises designed to train medics and infantry in how to treat various human battlefield injuries. Wound experiments, studies on chemical warfare and other deadly and maiming procedures. Non animal methods are readily available and already in use at several military installations. The non animal methods include rotations in military trauma hospitals and the use of DOD’s own combat Trauma Patient Stimulator”. (Stopanimaltests.com)

**Representative Bob Filner, D-Calif., chair of the House Committee on Veteran’s Affairs has introduced stand-alone legislation that would end the use of live animals in military medical training courses; H.R. 4269, the BEST Practices Act.**
NASA Monkey Experiments Appear to Violate Federal Regulations

1.75 MILLION NASA Plans to torment monkeys in radiation experiments 2010 (PETA NASA)

More than two dozen monkeys in NASA’s crude experiment will be zapped with a massive dose of radiation at Brookhaven National Laboratory in Long Island, New York, and then spend the rest of their lives condemned to a laboratory at Harvard’s McLean Hospital where they’ll be enlisted in a never-ending series of experiments to assess how the radiation devastates their brains and bodies.

NASA has admitted that the radiation is “going to cause some cellular damage.” What they really meant is that the monkeys may likely suffer from brain damage, cancer and premature aging. (PETA.org)
According to new information obtained by PETA through the Freedom of Information Act, NASA appears to have violated its own grant guidelines and the Code of Federal Regulations by approving the outlay of nearly $2 million in taxpayer money on this cruel and wasteful experiment before they had even been evaluated for scientific validity by one of the facilities where they would be taking place and even though the lead experimenter had missed crucial deadlines for receiving approval for the project. (PETA.org)

“IF ANYONE OTHER THAN WHITE-COATED SCIENTISTS TREATED MONKEYS, DOGS, CATS, RABBITS, PIGS, AND SO FORTH AS THEY DO BEHIND THE LOCKED DOORS OF THE ANIMAL LAB, HE OR SHE WOULD BE PROSECUTED FOR CRUELTY.” (Dr. Jane Goodall)
“Intentionally inflicting suffering and eventual death on an animal could result in a criminal conviction if done in public under any state’s law. Yet because vivisection is done behind closed doors at the hands of scientists, the suffering continues. To hide this suffering, animal experimentation laboratories are built without windows. They have extensive security systems to prevent public entry. They are hidden away in basements, cellars, and underground rooms.” (LCA, Question Vivisection)
“Researchers find it very necessary to keep blinders on. They don’t want to admit that animals might have feelings. They don’t want to admit that they might have minds and personalities because that would make it quite difficult for them to do what they do. So we find that within the lab communities there is a very strong resistance among the researchers to admitting that animals have minds, personalities and feelings.” (Dr Jane Goodall)

(Covance Cruelty.com) (Beagle with shaved back)

DVD Enclosed) HLS, Princeton Research Center)
“In suggesting that animals are somehow lesser than humans because they are less intelligent assumes that high intelligence is necessarily a good thing. Mental intelligence alone is a value-neutral. It can function for evil or good.” The mind is a real tool, capable of astounding leaps of comprehension and calculation. But like any tool, the services to which it’s put is determined by the intent of the user.” (InsideHLS.com).

Malish- The tormented Macaque monkey

“The Story of Malish”

(An article from “Shooting Ourselves in the Foot” by Frank L. Hoffman, all-creatures.org)

“It was simply a way of Funding for the professors.”

“Mary left medical research because, as she perceived it from personal experience,” “it was nothing but SANITIZED SADISM, producing little or no meaningful results. IT WAS SIMPLY A WAY OF FUNDING FOR THE PROFESSORS. WE DID ALL KINDS OF NONSENSE, SIMPLY SO WE CAN DO SOMETHING” “is the exact impression that Mary had of experimentation on other animals during her years in research.” “This is a worldwide problem of waste and suffering.” (Medical Research- The Story of Malish the Tormented Macaque; An article from Shooting Ourselves in the Foot).
“Donald Barnes, an Air Force researcher, was fired, as have been many others, after speaking out on the futility of animal experimentation. He likens the process of learning to perform animal experiments in graduate school to brainwashing: When I first left the laboratory, I remained skeptical, stating “there are some good experiments to be sure, but the majority are worthless, or words to that effect. Now after years of looking for those “good” experiments, I have long since concluded that they do not exist. But I had to do the looking for myself. I was simply too conditioned to the ‘Party Line’ to accept anyone’s word for this. (Sacred Cows and Golden Geese, pg 84)

Animal Experimentation is a labyrinth of deceit that has left a trail of countless horrified victims who were tormented, tortured, treated as if they were trash and discarded. Highly qualified physicians who have spoken out to have vivisection dismantled for decades are being dismissed. Animal rights activists who have protested non-violently against vivisection face lengthy prison sentences in the United States because of the AETA Act (S.3880), (GreenIsTheNewRed.com). The animal’s who are at the vivisectors’ mercy, have their vocal cords cut to silence their screams in the laboratories. These helpless animals’ only crimes were meeting a human.

(Maxine was surgically “debarked” along with 18 other Iams dogs, IamsCruelty.com)

Maisy “debarked” also.
“Freedom is never voluntarily given by the oppressor it must be demanded by the oppressed.” (Dr Martin Luther King Jr.)

The AETA act is an unacceptable Violation of our Constitutionally Granted First Amendment rights to freedom of speech.

“Most Americans would not consider the harassment of animal testing facilities to be terrorism, any more than they would consider anti-globalization protestors or women’s health activists to be terrorists.” Senator Patrick Leahy (D-VT)

The Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act (AETA) defines as “terrorism” causing any business classified as an “animal enterprise to suffer a profit loss and is punishable by a long prison sentence even if the company’s financial decline is caused by peaceful protests, boycotts, media campaigns or leafleting. This is an unacceptable violation of our Constitutionally-granted First Amendment rights to freedom of speech. The AETA was unanimously passed in the Senate on September 29th and November 13th 2006. It was passed in the House with only 5 Representatives voting. Bush signed the AETA into law on November 27th, 2006. The AETA was explicitly opposed by more than 160 groups and thousands of constituents. The opposition includes such influential groups as the National Lawyers Guild, New York City Bar Association, Natural Resources Defense Council, Humane Society of the U.S., League of Humane Voters, Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine, and the American Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (ASPCA).
The act provides particularly severe penalties for nonviolent illegal activities like civil disobedience, which has been the most effective tactic of the civil rights and other social justice movements. Many of the biomedical enterprises that promoted the Act are repeat violators of federal law dealing with animal welfare. It detracts from prosecution of real terrorism against the American people. Monitoring social activists imposes an unnecessary and misdirected additional burden on these agencies and threatens their continued success. (LCA,)(GreenIsTheNewRed.com)

“The sickening reality of animal experimentation is that it is an industry based on greed.” PETA

In 1993, congress passed the NIH Revitalization Act which mandated that the NIH implement a plan to “conduct or support research into methods of biomedical research and experimentation that do not require the use of animal,” as well as for reducing the number of animals used in research. Despite this law, which was passed more than 15 years ago before the
technological explosion that has included mapping of the human genome, widespread use of DNA microarrays and the other paradigm-shifting advances—federal funding for grants using animals has remained constant at just 40% of all grants. In February 2009, under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, the National Institutes of Health receive an additional $10.4 billion in funding. The money is in addition to NIH’s 2009 budget of $30.3 billion. Although technology has progressed and there is a broad acknowledgment of the flaws of animal models, NIH funding for animal research has remained unchanged and the numbers of animals use has increased. (In Defense of Animals Action Alert 4/20/09)

“Despite the government’s acknowledgement that significant biological differences between species miscalculate the safety of drugs and chemicals, the NIH still devotes about two-thirds of its $29.2 billion budget to animal research. This includes many millions spent on cruel and ridiculous animal experiments to purportedly study the effects of nicotine, alcohol, and other addictive drugs on humans. It’s time for the NIH to apply the scientific rationale from the 2007 NRC report to all areas of research and scale back funding of wasteful and grotesque animal experiments”. (In Defense of Animals; World Week for Animals in Laboratories 2009).

The question is not can they reason, nor can they talk? But can they suffer.” (Jeremy Bentham “An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation 1789)

All animal species are unique, particularly at the cellular level where disease occurs. While the central nervous system of many animals is quite similar to our own, their other systems cardiovascular, for instance) may differ greatly. The importance of these differences cannot be underestimated, for they obfuscate research data to the extent that it cannot reliably be said to reflect human reactions to the same stimuli. It therefore seems illogical to utilize animals in order to test a hypothesis about humans.(LCA, Question Vivisection)
“My opposition to use of live animals for surgical training is based on experience as a fellow in reproductive endocrinology at the University of Connecticut Health Center. In a laparoscopic project with rabbits, it became apparent rabbits were able to feel pain...I was determined to complete my fellowship without harming animals.” (Samuel L. Jacobs, M.D.), (InsideHLS.com.)

“The central nervous system of many animals is quite similar to our own, meaning that they feel pain in much the same way that we do.” (LCA, Question Vivisection)
"I HAD TO SAY GOODBYE TO ALL THE DOGS IN STUDY 3274. THEY WILL BE KILLED THIS WEEK—SOME OF THEM ON CHRISTMAS EVE. I'M TRYING TO THINK OF SOMETHING REMARKABLE I COULD WRITE ABOUT THEM ONE LAST TIME. BUT, THERE'S NOTHING TOO REMARKABLE ABOUT BEING LOCKED IN A TWO-FOOT CAGE FOR ONE FULL YEAR—GETTING LONELIER AND CRAZIER AND SICKER AS THE DAYS DRAG BY. I'M TELLING MYSELF I'M GLAD. THEY FINALLY GET THE RELEASE DEATH WILL BRING. WHAT I REALLY THINK IS IT'S...NOT RIGHT THEY WILL DIE WITHOUT EVER BEING LOVED.” (“DIARY OF MADNESS”- MICHELE ROORKE)

“For every animal who dies in a laboratory, there is a humane alternative to use in his or her place. But until in vitro cell/tissue cultures; cloned human cells, virtual and manufactured organs; computer and three dimensional models; diagnostic scans and many more non animal options receive ample funding—they will languish on paper.” (InsideHLS.com, KinshipCircle.org- Animal testing you paid for it.)
“Developments in computer and mathematical modeling have recently led to new treatments for breast cancer, AIDS, and high blood pressure, as well as improvements in the design of prosthetics. Advanced computers and mathematical models also allow scientists to analyze known toxic chemicals and predict toxicity without resorting to unreliable and cruel animal testing.” (IDAUSA.org)

“Because of those of us who care enough to see, the world knows what is going on behind laboratory walls.” “Once [these bills become] law, our government will be acknowledging that species other than our own are worthy of compassion, protection from science and the kind of respect we had reserved only for other humans. The species barrier that prevents our nation from embracing inclusive compassion—the only true compassion—will be broken. Until that day, no matter how kind to others, no matter how accepting of differences, if the line between us and all living beings is not erased, then our kindness is incomplete and no amount of good deeds will make up for the cruelties we allow.” (Theodora Capaldo, Ed.D., New England Anti Vivisection Society)
“Unseen they suffer, unheard they cry, in agony they suffer in loneliness they die.” PETA
“I ABHOR VIVISECTION. IT SHOULD AT LEAST BE CURBED. BETTER, IT SHOULD BE ABOLISHED. I KNOW OF NO ACHIEVEMENT THOUGH VIVISECTION, NO SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY THAT COULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBTAINED WITHOUT SUCH BARBARISM AND CRUELTY. THE WHOLE THING IS EVIL!” DR. FREDERIC MAYO
“The dogs in study 3337 were killed yesterday and today. I saw James from necropsy, take a live puppy into the necropsy room where four tables were being use. He plopped the dog on a table right across from another table where a woman was using a big power saw to cut up the head of a mutilated dead beagle. Behind James, another shoved the bloody remains of another puppy into a garbage bag.” (PETA undercover investigation in HLS, N.J)

“IN AS MUCH AS YOU HAVE DONE IT TO THE LEAST OF THESE YOU’VE DONE IT TO ME.” (JESUS CHRIST, BOOK OF MATTHEW 25:45)

(Covance, while Brian Cass of HLS was director)
“It has not always been the pragmatist, the voice of reason, or the force of compromise, that has created the conditions for liberty,” “Knowing this, I can’t summarily dismiss those possessed of similar certainty today—the antiabortion activist... the animal rights activist who raids a laboratory—no matter how deeply I disagree with their views. I am robbed even of the certainty of uncertainty—for sometimes absolute truths may well be absolute.” (Time Magazine, Oct. 15, 2006, Senator Barack Obama II)

“Britches” He was removed from his mother at birth, at the University of California, Riverside. (UCR) He had his eyelids sewn shut, and had an electronic sonar device attached to his head as part of a three-year sensory-deprivation study involving 24 infant monkeys. The experiments were conducted by psychologist David H. Warren.
A student reported Britches’ situation to an animal protection group, Last Chance for Animals. An Animal Liberation Front contact volunteering there, heard the complaint, and approached the student for more information. On April 21, 1985, ALF activists broke into the laboratory.

ALF activists say they found Britches alone in a cage with bandages around his eyes and a sonar device called a Trisensor Aid attached to his head. The device emitted a high-pitched noise every few minutes.

The Animal Liberation Front removed Britches along with around 467 other animals, taking footage of the raid, which they handed anonymously to PETA.

Veterinarian ophthalmologist Dr. Ned Buyukmihci of the University of California, Davis and founder of Veterinarians for Animal Rights, examined Britches after he was removed from the lab. He said “There is no possible justification for this sloppy, painful experiment.”
PETA released a film called “Britches” which prompted criticism of the experiments from other scientists and the American Council of the Blind. Dr. Grant Mack, president of the Council, called it “one of the most repugnant and ill-conceived boondoggles that I’ve heard about for a long time.” (PETA’S Britches DVD enclosed).

Britches, after being rescued by the Animal Liberation Front

(Wikipedia.com Britches monkey)
SILENCE

THEODORE ROETHKE

"THERE IS A NOISE WITHIN THE BROW
THAT PULSES UNDIMINISHED NOW
IT ACCENTS MEASURED BY THE BLOOD.
IT BREAKS UPON MY SOLITUDE-
A HAMMER ON THE CRYSTAL WALLS
OF SENSE AT RAPID INTERVALS.
IT IS THE UNMELODIC RING
BEFORE THE BREAKING OF A STRING,
THE WHEELS OF CIRCUMSTANCE THAT GRIND
SO TERRIBLY WITHIN THE MIND,
THE SPIRIT CRYING IN A CAGE
TO BUILD A COMPLEMENT TO RAGE,
CONFUSION'S CORE SET DEEP WITHIN
A FURIOUS, DISSEMBLING DIN.

IF I SHOULD EVER SEEK RELIEF
FROM THAT MONOTONY OF GRIEF,
THE TIGHT NERVES LEADING TO THE THROAT
WOULD NOT RELEASE ONE RIVEN NOTE:

WHAT SHAKES MY SKULL TO DISREPAIR
SHALL NEVER TOUCH ANOTHER EAR.

Please President Obama, do something to stop this
multi-billion dollar unjustifiable abomination.
This sanitized sadism has
no place in a civilized society.

Thank you,
Sincerely,

Marlene Phelan
Roger was sold to a lab from a Utah Animal shelter for $15 because of Utah’s Archaic “pound seizure” law. Roger was subjected to brain Experiments, after which he would vomit repeatedly and became withdrawn.

“The University of Utah bought Darla for $15 from the North Utah Valley Animal Shelter. She was used in intubation training exercises, in which hard plastic tubes were repeatedly forced down her windpipe. Non-animal methods for the same training are used at hundreds of facilities around the country.” (Peta.org March 2010)
Enclosed;

DVD’s
“Dealing Dogs” LCA
“Class B Dealers” LCA
“Britches” Peta
“Columbia Cruelty” Peta
“Testing 1,2,3” Peta
“Covance Cruelty” Peta

10 Worst Laboratories Peta
50 Drug Disasters SHAC

“Companies that test on animals and Companies that don’t” ADI

CC;
Senator Gillibrand D-NY
Senator Schumer D-NY
Rep. Jim Moran D-Va
Rep. Shays R-Ct
Rep. Mike Doyle D-Pa
Rep. Steve Israel D-NY
Senator Akaka D-Hi
Senator Leahy D-Vt.

(photos; google.com, photos, animal testing)
Senator Morahan          D-NY
Governor Patterson      D-NY
Rep. John Hall              D-NY
Rep. Bob Filner             D-Ca
Dr Jane Goodall
Jane Velez Mitchell – Headline News

“Those who won’t hesitate to vivisect, won’t hesitate to lie about it as well.” George Bernard Shaw (1856-1960)

(Chimp infected with syphilis, this disease does not occur naturally in chimps.)

(Photos-Google.com)
“Think occasionally of the suffering of which you spare yourself the sight.”  Albert Schweitzer (1875-1965)

“Atrocities are no less atrocities when they occur in laboratories and are labeled as medical research.”  George Bernard Shaw